Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Blount 1-27H
Location: SW SE Section 27 T23N R54 E

County: Richland , **MT**; **Field (or Wildcat)** W/C (Bakken Horizontal)

Air Quality

(noeeihla	concerns)
いいいろういいせ	COLICEITIST

Long drilling time: No, 15 to 20 days drilling time.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick rig to drill a single lateral

horizontal Bakken Formation test, 14,599'MD/9,967'TVD.

Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas from Mississippian Formations.

In/near Class I air quality area: No, Class I air quality area near to this location.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

- X Air quality permit (AQB review)
- __ Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
- Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other:		

Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 14,599'MD/9,967'TVD. If there is an existing gathering system for natural gas in the area, then associated gas can be gathered or if no gathering system nearby gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate casing string hole to be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids (oil/water ratio of 70/30 to 80/20). Horizontal lateral will be drilled with brine water. Surface casing hole will use freshwater and freshwater mud system (Rule 36.22.1001).

High water table: No high water table anticipated at this location.

Surface drainage leads to live water: Yes, drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to Fox Lake, about 1/4 of a mile to the northwest. Closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral drainage to Fox Creek, about 1/8 of a mile to the west from this location.

Water well contamination: None, closest water wells are about 3/8 of a mile to the east, about 3/8 of a mile to the northeast, about 3/8 of a mile to the southeast and about 7/8 of a mile to northwest from this location. Depth of these stock and domestic water wells range from 32' to 425'. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system, Rule 36.22.1001. Surface casing will be set at 1840' and cemented to surface. Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy clay soils.

Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.

Mitigation:

- Lined reserve pit
- X Adequate surface casing
- Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
- X Closed mud system

- X Off-site disposal liquids (in approved facility)
 X Other: Lined cuttings pit (16 mil pit liner) will be used since this is a closed

loop mud system to be employed.

Comments: 1840' of surface casing enough to cover the base of the Fox Hills and cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones, Rule 36.22.1001.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use
(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: No stream crossings anticipated.
High erosion potential: No high erosion potential at this location. The location will
require a moderate cut, up to 11.0' and moderate fill, up to 11.3', required.
Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive. If
productive, unused portion of this drilling location will be restored.
Unusually large wellsite: No a large wellsite, 400'X450' location size required for a
single well, Blount 1-27H.
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is grazing land.
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation Other
Comments: Access will be over existing county road #321. About 2076' of new
access road will be built into this location off the existing county road. Cuttings will be
buried in the lined cuttings pit constructed on the wellsite. Oil based drilling fluids will be
recycled. Reserve pit fluids will be hauled to a commercial disposal. Lined pit will be
allowed to dry and then closed by filling and mixing in with clay subsoils. No special
concerns.
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residence is about 3/8 of a mile to the
east from this location. The town of Lambert, Montana is about 7.5 miles to the
southeast from this location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight chance of H2S from Mississippian Formations.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: <u>Triple drilling rig/short, 15 to 20 days drilling time.</u>
Mitigation:
X Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with an operational
BOP stack (annular and double ram (pipe and blinds) rated for 5,000 psig)
should mitigate any problems, Rule 36.22.1014.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): Fox Lake State Game
Management Area is about 6 miles to the southeast from this location.
Proximity to recreation sites: Fox Lake State Game Management Area is about 6 miles
to the southeast from this location.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered
are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Least Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover.
Candidate species are the Sprague's Pipit and the Greater Sage Grouse. NH tracker
website indicate zero (0) species of concern in this township and range.
A Miles - II
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies BLM, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be species of concern
that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface
owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this
location. Montana Board of Oil and Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
No concerns.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified.
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM)
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other:
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Montana Board of Oil and Gas
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Montana Board of Oil and Gas
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites:None identified
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Montana Board of Oil and Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites:None identified. avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM)Other:Comments:Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Montana Board of Oil and Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns)
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites:None identified. avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM)Other:Comments:Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Montana Board of Oil and Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns)Substantial effect on tax base
(possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies BLM) Other: Comments: Private grazing surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. Montana Board of Oil and Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

Continental will drill a single lateral Bakken Formation horizontal well test, 14,599'MD/9,967'TVD. No special concerns.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No significant long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environment impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector Date: July 30, 2013 Other Persons Contacted:
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Name and Agency) Water wells in Richland County (subject discussed) July 30, 2013
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed) July 30, 2013 (date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3 T23N R54E (subject discussed) July 30, 2013 (date)
Montana Cadastral Website (Name and Agency) Surface Ownership and surface use Section 27 T23N R54E (subject discussed) July 30, 2013 (date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: